**MYOFUNCTIONAL THERAPY AND APPLIANCES**

**Myofunctional Appliances**

Myofunctional therapy is treatment aimed at changing muscle (Myo = muscle) function and possibly influencing jaw growth and the position of the teeth. Myofunctional appliances have been around in various forms for many years. These can include lip shields and screens, eruption guidance appliances (pictured right) and the T4K™ (pictured below). Although claims are made that they alter muscle function resulting in *improved facial growth*, *better alignment*, and *more stable* results, what evidence is there to support these claims?

There are a number of studies examining the clinical effects of the eruption guidance appliance and the T4K™ and they provide clinical evidence as to the compliance and effect of these appliances.¹⁻⁵ These appliances are available in a small range of sizes where one is selected to suit an individual rather than being custom made from an impression/scan. Possibly due to this generic fit, one study¹ found 31% of patients did not wear the appliance. A randomised trial of the T4K™ vs. a custom made Activator appliance found the Activator caused less discomfort than the T4K™ and was more acceptable.⁶ All five studies¹⁻⁵ showed that treatment at age 5-9 was quite long (13-36 months) and protrusion of the top teeth was reduced by only a small amount (1.5-2.5mm). A study⁴ of the T4K™ appliance showed it had *no growth effect*. Another study⁴ on the eruption guidance appliance followed patients over time and found the small 2mm improvement in *crowding relapsed* to the initial state which shows it is *not stable*. A 2mm improvement in bite depth was also *unstable* and relapsed leaving only 0.5mm of change.

A 2mm change is considered a minor improvement and could be treated once all adult teeth have erupted (~age 12-13) in one phase of treatment. This results in a reduced overall treatment time as well as potentially less cost than doing 2 or more phases of treatment. Clinical trials in the both the USA⁷ and the United Kingdom⁸ where patients were randomly assigned to early or late treatment have shown that when patients were treated early for much more severe protrusions (7mm rather than 2mm) they could be treated equally as well by delaying treatment until all the baby teeth had been lost. The result of treating later (~age 12-13 years of age) was a shorter overall treatment and less cost. However a case can be made for early treatment to reduce protrusive teeth when the appearance or function is concerning the patient or for a small reduction in the risk of trauma to the front teeth.

So if you are unsure about whether early treatment is required for your child, consult your orthodontist. Some problems such as crossbites and impacted teeth can be detected and treated more effectively if found early. Your orthodontist can determine if early treatment or simply monitoring your child is indicated to achieve the most efficient and cost-effective treatment at the most appropriate time.
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